LexMentor Journal of Law and Policy

VOLUME 1 · ISSUE 1 · JULY-DECEMBER 2026

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Artificial Intelligence in Corporate Governance: Legal Challenges and the Need for a Robust Regulatory Framework in India

Adibaa Khan

B.A. LL.B. (Hons.), Delhi Metropolitan Education, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become one of the most influential technological innovations shaping the modern corporate sector. Business organisations increasingly rely on AI-driven systems to improve operational efficiency, strengthen risk management, enhance regulatory compliance, and support strategic decision-making. While AI offers significant advantages, its growing role in corporate governance has also generated important legal and ethical concerns. Issues relating to accountability, transparency, algorithmic bias, cybersecurity, and data protection continue to challenge existing legal frameworks. India's corporate governance regime, governed primarily by the Companies Act, 2013, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, provides general standards for corporate responsibility but does not specifically regulate AI-assisted governance. This article examines the emerging relationship between Artificial Intelligence and corporate governance in India, analyses the legal challenges arising from AI adoption, evaluates the adequacy of the present regulatory framework, and compares international approaches. It argues that India should adopt a comprehensive and risk-based regulatory framework capable of promoting innovation while ensuring accountability, transparency, ethical decision-making, and effective protection of stakeholder interests. Such a framework would strengthen corporate governance and contribute to responsible technological development in the country's rapidly expanding digital economy.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Corporate Governance; Companies Act; Digital Personal Data Protection Act; Corporate Accountability; AI Regulation; Corporate Law; Data Privacy

Artificial Intelligence has transformed the manner in which businesses operate across the world[1]. Technological advancements in machine learning, data analytics, and automation[2] have enabled organisations to perform complex tasks with greater speed, efficiency, and accuracy than ever before. Companies now employ AI not only for routine operational activities but also for functions involving strategic planning, financial analysis, compliance monitoring, fraud detection, cybersecurity, customer relationship management, and business forecasting. Consequently, Artificial Intelligence has emerged as an important component of modern corporate governance.

Corporate governance refers to the system through which companies are directed, managed, and supervised. It establishes standards of accountability, transparency, fairness, responsibility, and ethical conduct for directors and management while safeguarding the interests of shareholders, employees, creditors, consumers, regulators, and society. Sound corporate governance contributes to investor confidence, sustainable economic growth, and long-term organisational success.

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into corporate governance has introduced significant opportunities for improving efficiency and strengthening regulatory compliance. AI-powered technologies assist boards of directors in analysing complex business information, identifying financial risks, monitoring legal compliance, and supporting informed decision-making. Through predictive analytics and automated monitoring systems, organisations are able to reduce operational costs, minimise human error, and respond more effectively to changing business conditions.

Despite these advantages, the growing reliance on Artificial Intelligence has also created several legal and ethical concerns. AI systems frequently operate through complex algorithms that may not be fully transparent or easily understood by directors, regulators, or stakeholders. When an AI-generated recommendation results in financial loss, discriminatory practices, or regulatory violations, determining legal responsibility becomes increasingly difficult. Questions concerning algorithmic bias, privacy protection, cybersecurity, accountability, and explainability continue to challenge existing legal systems across the world.

India has recognised the importance of Artificial Intelligence through various legislative and policy initiatives. The Companies Act, 2013 establishes standards relating to directors' duties[3] and corporate governance, while the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015[4] promote transparency and accountability among listed companies. The Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023[5] further strengthens the legal framework governing the collection and processing of personal data. In addition, initiatives such as the National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence and the IndiaAI Mission demonstrate India's commitment to encouraging responsible technological innovation. However, these measures do not comprehensively regulate the use of AI in corporate governance or address the unique legal issues arising from AI-assisted corporate decision-making.

As Artificial Intelligence becomes increasingly integrated into corporate management, there is a pressing need for a legal framework that balances innovation with accountability. Effective regulation should ensure that AI enhances corporate governance without compromising transparency, ethical standards, or stakeholder rights. Such a framework must also provide legal certainty for companies while promoting responsible technological development.

This article critically examines the role of Artificial Intelligence in corporate governance, analyses the legal challenges associated with its adoption, evaluates the existing Indian legal framework, compares international regulatory approaches, and proposes recommendations for developing a comprehensive AI governance framework suited to India's corporate and technological landscape.

Understanding Artificial Intelligence and Corporate Governance

Artificial Intelligence refers to computer-based systems capable of performing tasks that normally require human intelligence[6]. These tasks include learning from data, recognising patterns, making predictions, solving problems, understanding language, and supporting decision-making. Unlike traditional software, AI systems continuously improve their performance through experience and data analysis, making them valuable tools for businesses operating in competitive and data-intensive environments.

Corporate governance refers to the framework of laws, policies, practices, and institutional mechanisms through which companies are managed and controlled. It seeks to ensure responsible decision-making, accountability of directors, protection of stakeholder interests, transparency in financial reporting, and compliance with legal obligations. Effective corporate governance enhances investor confidence[7] and contributes to the long-term sustainability of business organisations.

The relationship between Artificial Intelligence and corporate governance has evolved significantly over the past decade. AI is increasingly being integrated into governance mechanisms to improve financial analysis, monitor compliance, detect fraudulent transactions, manage business risks, evaluate investment opportunities, and support strategic planning. These technologies enable organisations to process large volumes of information with greater speed and accuracy than conventional decision-making methods.

However, Artificial Intelligence should not replace human judgment in matters involving corporate governance. Directors continue to owe fiduciary duties to the company and remain legally responsible for decisions taken by the organisation. AI should therefore function as a decision-support mechanism rather than an autonomous decision-maker. Human oversight remains essential to ensure that technological efficiency does not compromise ethical standards, legal compliance, or stakeholder interests.

The growing dependence on AI demonstrates that corporate governance is entering a new technological era. At the same time, this transformation requires legal safeguards capable of ensuring that AI systems operate in a transparent, accountable, and responsible manner.

Role and Benefits of Artificial Intelligence in Corporate Governance

The increasing adoption of Artificial Intelligence has significantly transformed the manner in which companies perform governance functions. Modern corporations generate enormous volumes of financial, operational, legal, and commercial information every day. Processing such data manually is often time-consuming and susceptible to human error. Artificial Intelligence enables organisations to analyse complex datasets rapidly, identify patterns, predict risks, and provide valuable insights that support corporate decision-making. Consequently, AI has become an essential governance tool rather than merely a technological innovation[8].

One of the most important contributions of AI is its ability to improve corporate decision-making. Boards of directors are required to make strategic decisions[9] concerning investments, mergers, acquisitions, financial planning, and regulatory compliance. AI-powered analytical tools can process market trends, financial reports, and operational data within a short period, enabling directors to make more informed and evidence-based decisions. Although the final responsibility continues to rest with human decision-makers, AI enhances the quality and efficiency of corporate governance by providing reliable analytical support.

Artificial Intelligence also strengthens corporate risk management. Every business faces financial, operational, legal, environmental, and reputational risks that require continuous monitoring. AI systems are capable of identifying unusual patterns, predicting potential risks, and issuing early warnings before problems become serious. This proactive approach enables companies to adopt preventive measures, thereby reducing financial losses and improving organisational resilience.

Another important benefit of AI is its contribution to regulatory compliance. Companies are required to comply with numerous statutory obligations relating to corporate governance, taxation, labour laws, environmental protection, securities regulation, and financial reporting. AI-powered compliance systems continuously monitor regulatory requirements, identify potential violations, and generate alerts regarding statutory deadlines or reporting obligations. Such automation reduces the possibility of inadvertent non-compliance while improving the overall efficiency of corporate governance.

Artificial Intelligence has also enhanced fraud detection and internal audit mechanisms. Corporate fraud frequently involves sophisticated financial transactions that are difficult to detect through conventional auditing methods. AI systems analyse financial records in real time, identify suspicious transactions, and detect anomalies that may indicate fraudulent activities. Early detection enables organisations to investigate irregularities promptly, strengthen internal controls, and protect stakeholder interests.

Cybersecurity has become another important area in which AI contributes significantly. As companies increasingly depend upon digital infrastructure, the risk of cyberattacks has also grown substantially. AI-based cybersecurity systems monitor network activities continuously, detect suspicious behaviour, and respond to potential security threats with greater speed than traditional security mechanisms. Such capabilities assist organisations in protecting confidential corporate information and maintaining business continuity.

Artificial Intelligence further improves stakeholder engagement and customer services. Companies utilise AI-powered chatbots, automated communication platforms, and predictive customer support systems to respond efficiently to shareholder queries, customer complaints, and investor concerns. Improved communication promotes transparency and strengthens stakeholder confidence in corporate governance practices.

AI also contributes to environmental, social, and governance (ESG) reporting. Increasingly, investors evaluate companies not only on financial performance but also on sustainability and ethical governance. AI enables organisations to collect, analyse, and report ESG-related information more efficiently, thereby improving the accuracy of sustainability disclosures and enhancing investor confidence.

Despite these advantages, Artificial Intelligence cannot replace human judgment. Corporate governance requires ethical reasoning, legal interpretation, and fiduciary responsibility, which remain fundamentally human functions. AI systems operate on the basis of data and algorithms and may not fully appreciate broader social, ethical, or legal implications. Therefore, directors should treat AI as an advisory tool rather than an independent decision-maker.

The successful integration of Artificial Intelligence into corporate governance depends upon achieving an appropriate balance between technological innovation and human oversight. Organisations that implement AI responsibly are likely to benefit from improved efficiency, stronger compliance, better risk management, enhanced transparency, and sustainable corporate growth. However, these benefits can only be realised when AI systems operate within a robust legal and ethical framework that safeguards stakeholder interests and promotes responsible corporate conduct.

Legal Challenges of Artificial Intelligence in Corporate Governance

Although Artificial Intelligence offers numerous advantages, its growing use within corporate governance has created several legal challenges. Existing legal frameworks were developed primarily to regulate decisions made by human beings[10] and therefore provide limited guidance regarding AI-assisted governance. The absence of clear legal standards creates uncertainty concerning accountability, liability, transparency, privacy, cybersecurity, and ethical responsibility.

One of the most significant legal challenges concerns accountability for AI-assisted decisions. Directors owe fiduciary duties to the company and are expected to exercise reasonable care, skill, and diligence while making corporate decisions. However, where a decision is based upon recommendations generated by Artificial Intelligence, determining responsibility becomes considerably more complex. If an AI system produces inaccurate financial predictions, discriminatory recommendations, or incorrect compliance assessments, questions arise regarding whether liability should rest with the directors, the company, or the developers of the AI technology.

Another major challenge relates to data privacy and protection. Artificial Intelligence functions by processing large quantities of personal and commercial data[11], including employee records, customer information, financial statements, and confidential business documents. Improper handling of such information may result in privacy violations, unauthorised disclosures, identity theft, and regulatory penalties. Although India's Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 establishes important safeguards for personal data, it does not specifically regulate the governance-related use of AI or algorithmic decision-making.

Algorithmic bias represents another significant concern[12]. AI systems learn through historical data, and if that data contains social or organisational bias, the resulting decisions may also become discriminatory. Bias may influence recruitment, employee promotions, lending decisions, insurance assessments, or customer profiling. Such outcomes may violate principles of equality, fairness, and ethical corporate governance while exposing companies to legal disputes and reputational damage.

The lack of transparency in AI systems also creates governance challenges. Many AI models function as "black box" systems, producing decisions without explaining the reasoning behind them. Directors, shareholders, regulators, and auditors may therefore find it difficult to understand how important corporate decisions were reached. Since transparency is one of the fundamental principles of corporate governance, this lack of explainability undermines stakeholder confidence and regulatory oversight.

Cybersecurity presents another important legal challenge. AI systems store and process highly valuable corporate information, making them attractive targets for cybercriminals. A successful cyberattack may compromise confidential business information, disrupt corporate operations, and expose companies to substantial financial losses. Boards of directors must therefore ensure that appropriate cybersecurity measures accompany the adoption of AI technologies.

Finally, Artificial Intelligence raises broader ethical concerns. AI lacks human values, moral reasoning, and social understanding. It cannot independently balance competing stakeholder interests or appreciate the ethical consequences of corporate decisions. Consequently, excessive reliance upon AI may weaken human accountability and undermine the principles of responsible corporate governance.

These legal challenges clearly demonstrate that Artificial Intelligence should not operate in a regulatory vacuum. A balanced legal framework is necessary to define responsibility, strengthen transparency, protect privacy, minimise algorithmic bias, and ensure that technological innovation remains consistent with the principles of corporate accountability and good governance.

Indian Legal Framework Governing Artificial Intelligence in Corporate Governance

India has not yet enacted a dedicated statute governing Artificial Intelligence. Nevertheless, several existing laws and regulatory instruments indirectly regulate the use of AI in corporate governance. These laws establish principles relating to corporate accountability, transparency, fiduciary responsibility, data protection, and regulatory compliance. Although these provisions provide a useful legal foundation, they do not comprehensively address the challenges associated with AI-assisted corporate decision-making.

The Companies Act, 2013 serves as the primary legislation governing corporate entities in India. Section 166 of the Act imposes fiduciary duties upon directors[13], requiring them to act in good faith, exercise due care, skill and diligence, and promote the interests of the company and its stakeholders. These duties continue to apply even when directors rely upon Artificial Intelligence while making corporate decisions. AI may assist directors by providing analytical support, but it cannot replace their legal responsibilities. Directors remain accountable for decisions affecting the company irrespective of whether those decisions were influenced by automated systems.

For listed companies, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 strengthen standards of corporate governance by requiring transparency, accurate disclosures, effective risk management, and protection of shareholders' interests. Although these regulations do not specifically regulate Artificial Intelligence, companies using AI must ensure that automated systems comply with existing governance obligations and maintain appropriate human oversight.

The Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 represents another important development relevant to AI governance. Since AI systems depend upon large quantities of personal data, organisations must process such information lawfully and securely. The Act establishes principles relating to lawful processing, purpose limitation, consent, security safeguards, and accountability. However, it does not specifically regulate algorithmic decision-making, automated profiling, or explainability of AI systems used in corporate governance.

Apart from legislation, India has introduced several policy initiatives encouraging responsible AI development. NITI Aayog's National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence emphasises ethical AI, transparency, inclusiveness, and public trust. The IndiaAI Mission also seeks to strengthen research, innovation, infrastructure, and responsible deployment of Artificial Intelligence. Although these initiatives demonstrate India's commitment to technological development, they function primarily as policy documents rather than enforceable legal frameworks.

Therefore, while India's existing legal structure provides important governance safeguards, it does not comprehensively regulate the use of Artificial Intelligence in corporate decision-making. The absence of clear statutory provisions regarding accountability, algorithmic transparency, AI auditing, and liability demonstrates the need for dedicated AI legislation.

Comparative Analysis

Several countries have recognised that Artificial Intelligence requires specialised legal regulation. International developments provide valuable guidance for India while designing its own AI governance framework.

The European Union has adopted the Artificial Intelligence Act[14], which establishes one of the world's first comprehensive legal frameworks for AI regulation. The legislation follows a risk-based approach, classifying AI systems according to the level of risk they present. High-risk AI systems are subject to stricter legal obligations relating to transparency, documentation, human oversight, cybersecurity, and accountability. This approach enables innovation while protecting individuals and businesses from potential harm.

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) has also developed internationally recognised AI Principles. These principles promote transparency, accountability, fairness, human-centred values, security, and responsible innovation. They encourage governments and businesses to ensure that Artificial Intelligence remains subject to meaningful human supervision throughout its lifecycle.

Compared with these international developments, India's legal framework remains fragmented[15]. Existing corporate and data protection laws regulate only certain aspects of AI without establishing comprehensive standards for governance, accountability, or transparency. Rather than copying foreign legislation, India should develop a regulatory framework suited to its constitutional values, economic conditions, and rapidly expanding digital economy while adopting internationally accepted principles of responsible AI governance.

Recommendations

The increasing use of Artificial Intelligence within corporate governance requires legal reforms capable of balancing technological innovation with accountability. The following recommendations may strengthen India's regulatory framework.

First, India should enact comprehensive legislation specifically governing Artificial Intelligence. Such legislation should clearly define legal responsibilities of companies, directors, developers, and AI service providers while establishing standards relating to transparency, accountability, fairness, and cybersecurity.

Second, AI should always remain subject to meaningful human oversight[16]. Important corporate decisions involving financial reporting, mergers, acquisitions, compliance, or employment should not be implemented solely on the basis of automated recommendations. Directors must independently evaluate AI-generated advice before making final decisions.

Third, companies should establish internal AI governance policies covering ethical use, data management, algorithmic fairness, cybersecurity, risk assessment, and accountability. Such policies should become an integral component of corporate governance practices.

Fourth, independent AI audits should be conducted periodically[17] to evaluate accuracy, fairness, security, and legal compliance. Regular audits would reduce algorithmic bias, strengthen stakeholder confidence, and minimise corporate risks.

Finally, continuous cooperation between government, regulators, technology companies, academic institutions, and industry experts is essential for developing effective AI governance. Since Artificial Intelligence evolves rapidly, regulatory frameworks must remain flexible and capable of responding to future technological developments.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence has fundamentally transformed corporate governance by improving efficiency, strengthening compliance, enhancing risk management, and supporting informed decision-making. At the same time, its growing influence has generated significant legal and ethical concerns relating to accountability, transparency, privacy, cybersecurity, algorithmic bias, and human oversight.

India's present legal framework provides an important foundation through the Companies Act, 2013[18], the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, and the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023. However, these laws were not specifically designed to regulate AI-assisted corporate governance and therefore leave several important legal questions unanswered.

International developments demonstrate that responsible regulation can encourage innovation while protecting stakeholder interests. India should therefore adopt a balanced and comprehensive regulatory framework capable of promoting ethical AI, legal accountability, corporate transparency, and responsible technological development.

Artificial Intelligence should not replace human judgment but should function as a powerful tool supporting responsible corporate governance[19]. The future success of AI in India's corporate sector will depend upon developing a legal framework that preserves innovation while reinforcing the fundamental principles of accountability, transparency, fairness, and good governance.

References

Companies Act, 2013.

Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023.

Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015.

NITI Aayog, National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence (2018).

Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, IndiaAI Mission.

Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 (Artificial Intelligence Act).

OECD Recommendation on Artificial Intelligence (2019).

Andrew Murray, Information Technology Law.

Harry Surden, "Artificial Intelligence and Law: An Overview", Georgia State University Law Review.

Brian Coyle, Corporate Governance.

Footnotes

1. NITI Aayog, National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence: AI for All (June 2018). ↩
2. Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (4th edn, Pearson 2021). ↩

3. Companies Act 2013, s 166. ↩
4. Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations 2015. ↩
5. Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 ↩
6. Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig, Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach (4th edn, Pearson 2021). ↩
7. Brian Coyle, Corporate Governance (ICSA Publishing 2005). ↩
8. Andrew Murray, Information Technology Law (5th edn, Oxford University Press 2019) ↩
9. Companies Act 2013, s 166. ↩
10. Harry Surden, 'Artificial Intelligence and Law: An Overview' (2019) 35 Georgia State University Law Review 1305. ↩
11. Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023. ↩
12. OECD, Recommendation of the Council on Artificial Intelligence (22 May 2019). ↩
13. Companies Act 2013, s 166. ↩
14. Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down harmonised rules on Artificial Intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act). ↩
15. NITI Aayog, National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence: AI for All (June 2018). ↩
16. OECD, Recommendation of the Council on Artificial Intelligence (22 May 2019). ↩
17. Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 (Artificial Intelligence Act), arts 9–15. ↩
18. Companies Act 2013; Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023; Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations 2015. ↩
19. Andrew Murray, Information Technology Law (5th edn, Oxford University Press 2019). ↩